

Appendix A - Schedule of Complaints (concluded in 2017)

| | <i>Summary & outcome of alleged complaint</i> | <i>Complaint by public or councillor</i> |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | <p>The subject member failed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • behave openly regarding their decisions and give reasons for their decisions as public interest required; • lead by example in following the Code of Conduct; • brought the office and Authority into disrepute. <p>The Initial Filtering Panel ("IFP") decided there was no breach of the Code as the complaint did not fall within the jurisdiction of the IFP.</p> <p>The complainant requested review.</p> <p>The Assessment Sub Committee found no breach of the Code. They made two recommendations regarding the Standing Order regarding notices of motion.</p> | Public |
| 2. | <p>The subject member failed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • lead by example in following the Code of Conduct; • brought the office and Authority into disrepute <p>The IFP decided that there was no breach of the Code. They made a recommendation regarding an update from Financial Services regarding the matter.</p> <p>The complainant requested review.</p> <p>The Assessment Sub Committee found no beach of the Code.</p> | Public |

| | | |
|----|---|------------|
| 3. | <p>The subject member:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sought to intimidate the complainant and another person; • Brought the Authority into disrepute <p>The IFP decided that if the allegations could be made out, there would have been a breach of the Code. The IFP therefore concluded that an investigator should be appointed to look into the complaint.</p> <p>During the course of the investigation, the complainant withdrew their complaint.</p> | Public |
| 4. | <p>The subject member failed to treat his office with respect during an online exchange.</p> <p>The IFP decided that there had been no breach of the Code but the Monitoring Officer would give guidance on use of social media.</p> | Public |
| 5. | The complainant withdrew his complaint prior to the arrangement of an IFP. | Councillor |
| 6. | <p>The subject member:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compromised the impartiality of the Authority's officers • Conducted himself in a manner which could be regarded as bringing their office or the Authority into disrepute; • Used their position as a member to improperly confer advantage or disadvantage • Reached decisions without regard to relevant advice <p>The IFP decided that there had been no breach of the Code.</p> <p>The matter concerned a Council decision and the concern was addressed via the relevant department.</p> | Public |
| 7. | As above complaint (6) | Public |

| | | |
|-----|--|--------|
| | | |
| 8. | <p>The subject member conducted himself in a manner which could be regarded as bringing his office or the Authority into disrepute.</p> <p>The IFP decided that there had not been a breach of the Code and no further action was taken.</p> | Public |
| 9. | As above complaint (8) | Public |
| 10. | <p>The subject member conducted himself in a manner which could be regarded as bringing his office or the Authority into disrepute.</p> <p>The IFP decided that there had not been a breach of the Code and no further action was taken.</p> | Public |